Diversity in the dynamical behaviour of a compartmentalized programmable biochemical oscillator
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In vitro compartmentalization of biochemical reaction networks is a crucial step towards engineering artificial cell-scale devices and systems. At this scale the dynamics of molecular systems becomes stochastic, which introduces several engineering challenges and opportunities. Here we study a programmable transcriptional oscillator system that is compartmentalized into microemulsion droplets with volumes between 33 fl and 16 pl. Simultaneous measurement of large populations of droplets reveals major variations in the amplitude, frequency and damping of the oscillations. Variability increases for smaller droplets and depends on the operating point of the oscillator. Rather than reflecting the stochastic kinetics of the chemical reaction network itself, the variability can be attributed to the statistical variation of reactant concentrations created during their partitioning into droplets. We anticipate that robustness to partitioning variability will be a critical challenge for engineering cell-scale systems, and that highly parallel time-series acquisition from microemulsion droplets will become a key tool for characterization of stochastic circuit function.

Biological networks can perform highly sophisticated tasks such as signal processing, computation and the orchestration of molecular processes in time and space. In the past decade a variety of increasingly complex artificial, programmable molecular circuits have been demonstrated in vitro. On a fundamental level, such systems can be used to prototype and analyse subsystems of more-complicated naturally occurring circuits, and thus serve as a training ground for understanding biological complexity. Beyond biology, in vitro molecular systems offer considerable design flexibility using a limited number of well-characterized components. Thus, they constitute an ideal platform for developing nanosystems that operate in a cell-free environment and exploit attractive features of cellular machinery, such as the ability to replicate, self-assemble and compute at the nanoscale.

An important step towards engineering compact biomimetic systems is the encapsulation of biochemical circuitry within cell-like microcompartments—the creation of programmable ‘artificial cells’ or ‘protocells’. However, compartmentalization can profoundly influence biochemical reaction kinetics. In particular, typical concentrations of molecules in biomolecular circuits are in the nanomolar range, which for cell-sized reaction containers means that some molecular species are present only at very small copy numbers. A prominent consequence of this is the appearance of biochemical ‘noise’, often attributed to the inherent stochasticity of chemical reactions. Understanding the sources and propagation of noise and variability in artificial biochemical systems encapsulated in microcompartments is necessary to provide a foundation for engineering molecular systems that are robust to stochasticity, or that exploit the randomness to advantage. Furthermore, because biological cells face many of the same challenges and opportunities, our understanding of biological systems can be informed by, and can provide insight into, engineering principles for molecular systems at this scale.

Cell-free gene expression in lipid bilayer vesicles has been studied in the past, but functional encapsulation of complex mixtures of biochemicals, such as the transcription/translation machinery, remains challenging. A technologically more-developed approach employs water-in-oil emulsion droplets as reaction containers, with applications that include single-molecule enzymology, emulsion polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and in vitro evolution experiments for the selection of ribozymes or functional proteins. However, thus far the influence of micron-scale encapsulation on in vitro biochemical networks with more-complex, far from equilibrium, dynamical behaviour has not been investigated systematically.

Previously, compartmentalization of an inorganic chemical dynamical process was demonstrated with the Belousov–Zhabotinsky reaction, where the emergence of oscillations and spatial patterns was studied in microemulsion droplets. As inorganic chemical oscillators operate at much higher concentrations than typical biochemical systems, fluctuations and ‘small-number effects’ were, with few exceptions, typically not observed. Recently, a range of synthetic biochemical oscillators have been demonstrated successfully both in vivo and in vitro. Owing to their important role in the orchestration of biological processes, there is considerable interest in the robustness of naturally occurring biochemical clocks with respect to molecular noise or temperature fluctuations. So far, synthetic in vitro biochemical oscillators have been studied only in bulk reactions, or encapsulated into emulsion droplets too large to result in considerable dynamic variability.

Here, we demonstrate and characterize compartmentalization of a synthetic biochemical clock (a fluorescent in vitro transcriptional
oscillator) into small, cell-sized droplet microreactors. The oscillator reaction system involves seven DNA strands, two enzymes, two transcribed RNA species and several intermediate species. Using a simple vortexing technique, we generated emulsions of water-in-oil droplets that contained the oscillator, with volumes ranging from \(\geq 16\) pl down to \(\leq 33\) fl. Thousands of individual oscillating droplets were followed simultaneously by optical microscopy, and features such as the period and amplitude of each droplet’s fluorescence trace were measured using automated analysis procedures.

As anticipated for small-number effects, the diversity of behaviours increased in smaller droplets. Surprisingly, however, we found that the diversity could not be explained by the inherent stochasticity of chemical reactions that involve small numbers of molecules, which is often used as an explanation for variability within living cells\(^{33,34}\). Instead, our experimental data were more consistent with models in which stochastic partitioning of key low-concentration species (enzymes in particular) introduced diversity in the dynamical behaviour by providing variability in the initial conditions for the oscillator. Thus, the variability observed in our population of oscillators bears more similarities to the ‘cell-division noise’ caused by the unequal distribution of the molecules of dividing cells\(^{35,36}\).

**Results and discussion**

A *in vitro* transcriptional oscillator. Schematic representations of the *in vitro* transcriptional oscillator used in the present study are shown in Fig. 1a,b. Its operation principle and quantitative description are discussed thoroughly by Kim and Winfree\(^{24}\) and Franco et al.\(^{25}\). Briefly, it is based on two transcriptional switches, SW21 and SW12 (also termed ‘genelets’), that mutually regulate their activity through RNA transcripts rA1 (activator) and rI2 (inhibitor). The genelets are composed of double-stranded DNA templates (T21A1 and T12A2) that contain the promoter sequence of RNA polymerase (RNAP) from bacteriophage T7. The non-coding strand of the genelets is nicked in the promoter region, and removal of the activating DNA strands A1 or A2 by toehold-mediated strand displacement results in strongly reduced transcriptional activity. RNA species rI2, which is transcribed from T21A1, can inhibit transcription from genelet SW12 by displacing A2 from T21A2. By contrast, RNA species rA1, transcribed from T12A2, can activate genelet SW21 by displacing dI1 strands from A1dI1 duplexes; A1 strands are thus released, and can bind to T21. As a result, the two genelets constitute an overall negative feedback loop, which exhibits oscillatory behaviour for appropriate parameter settings. To prevent unlimited growth of RNA concentrations, RNase H is added to degrade, both selectively and processively, RNA that occurs in DNA/RNA hybrid duplexes\(^{37}\).

Experimentally, the state of the genelets is read out by fluorescence collected from the dye-labelled T21 strands. A typical fluorescence trace recorded from the transcriptional oscillator system is shown in Fig. 1c. As shown in the figure, experimental data are well reproduced by a theoretical model (the extended model from Kim and Winfree\(^{24}\)) that captures the most-important chemical reactions (Supplementary Section Modelling). The model contains 17 ordinary differential equations with 24 species and 24 rate parameters. The model parameters were chosen within a realistic range to obtain a least-squares fit to data collected from three distinct operating points of the oscillator, which we named ‘sustained’ (Fig. 1c), ‘damped’ and ‘strongly damped’.

The enzyme concentrations for the ‘sustained’ tuning of the oscillator system are [RNase H] \(\approx 5\) nM and [RNAP] \(\approx 200\) nM, and from 80 nM to 500 nM for the oligonucleotide components (Supplementary Section Methods). In droplets with subpicolitre volumes, some of the species are present at only relatively low copy numbers. For instance, in a droplet with radius \(r\) of 2 \(\mu m\) (which corresponds to a volume \(V\) of 33 fl) there will be \(\sim 100\) molecules of RNase H, 4,000 RNAPs and the lowest DNA copy numbers will be \(\sim 1,600\).

**Generating a population of oscillators in microdroplets.** To study the effect of compartmentalization into small volumes on the dynamical behaviour of the transcriptional oscillator, we encapsulated the oscillator components within microemulsion droplets. Water-in-oil droplets were prepared using a non-ionic surfactant\(^{38}\), which resulted in microemulsions that were stable for days. A simple vortexing procedure generated a broad droplet-size distribution, with radii that ranged from \(\sim 1\) \(\mu m\) to above 20 \(\mu m\) (Methods). Owing to the non-ionic nature of the surfactant, adsorption of molecules to the droplet boundaries was presumed to be very low. This was validated by control fluorescence measurements on emulsions prepared solely with
Figure 2 | Compartmentalized synthetic transcriptional oscillators. a. Epifluorescence microscopy image of the transcriptional oscillator in microemulsion droplets (scale bar, 100 µm); see also the example microscopy in Supplementary Videos V1–V3. b. Fluorescent time traces for two droplets that contain the same reaction mix as the bulk oscillator in Fig. 1. Sustained oscillations in droplets were observed for more than 20 hours. The droplet image series shows microscope snapshots in fluorescent mode during the oscillation reactions. The corresponding phases in the time traces are indicated by dashed lines. Rel. amp., relative amplitude.

Figure 3 | Dynamical diversity in oscillatory behaviour caused by encapsulation of sustained and damped synthetic oscillator circuits. Only droplets that identifiably oscillate are considered here. Traces were normalized to their maximum value for this figure. a. Top panel, 20 example traces (blue) for the sustained oscillator in droplets with $r = 2-5$ µm compared to the bulk oscillation trace (black). Bottom panel, population average of $n$ traces (blue) and corresponding standard deviation (indicated by the purple shaded area around the average). b. As in a, but for oscillators encapsulated in droplets with $r > 8$ µm. c. Top, 20 example traces (blue) for the damped oscillator ($r = 2-5$ µm) compared to the bulk oscillation trace (black). Bottom, population average of $n$ traces (blue) and corresponding standard deviation (purple shaded area). d. As in c, but for oscillators encapsulated in droplets with $r > 8$ µm.
fluorescently labelled oligonucleotides and green fluorescent protein (Supplementary Section Compartmentalization).

**Oscillations in microdroplets.** For the initial experiments, we tuned the bulk oscillator into two dynamically distinct regimes by adjusting the RNase H concentration (see Supplementary Section Methods). In the first regime (identical to that shown in Fig. 1c), ‘sustained’ oscillations with minimal damping were observed, but in the other regime (with 25% higher RNase H concentrations) the oscillator circuit produced ‘damped’ oscillations, which indicates that for this choice of parameters the bulk system was closer to the non-oscillatory region of its phase space. A fluorescence image of microdroplets that encapsulate oscillators in the sustained regime is displayed in Fig. 2a (see also Supplementary Videos V1–V3). As indicated by the example traces in Fig. 2b, oscillations differ in amplitude and frequency from droplet to droplet. To obtain a better quantitative picture of the diverse dynamical behaviour within the droplet populations, we tracked individual droplets and evaluated their dynamics as a function of size (Methods).

Figure 3a shows 20 example oscillatory traces obtained in the sustained regime in ‘small’ droplets with radii in the range \( r \approx 2–5 \mu\text{m} \) (\( V = 33–524 \mu\text{l} \)); the population average of the oscillatory signals together with the standard deviation around the mean value are also shown. Figure 3b contains example and average traces for the sustained oscillator for ‘large’ droplets with radii \( r > 8 \mu\text{m} \) (\( V > 2 \mu\text{l} \)). Figure 3c,d shows the corresponding plots for the damped oscillator (see Supplementary Section Oscillator Data and Supplementary Figs 5–11 for an overview of all data sets).

Of the traces that passed our filtering criteria (Supplementary Section Data Analysis and Supplementary Figs 2–4), only those with at least five clear extrema were defined as ‘identifiably oscillating’. These may include both sustained oscillations and damped oscillations similar to the bulk traces in Fig. 3a,c; however, strongly damped traces and traces that show sustained but extremely slow oscillations may pass the filtering criteria, but would not be deemed ‘identifiably oscillating’. Considering all identifiably oscillating traces (Fig. 3, lower panels), we observed that larger droplets have smaller variation for both oscillator tunings. In the sustained case, large droplets exhibit especially low variance at early times and the oscillating mean value indicates only slow desynchronization. Smaller droplets have greater initial variance and desynchronize more quickly. The ‘defocusing’ of the oscillations is less dramatic in the damped case (Fig. 3c,d), in which the standard deviation is considerably smaller than that for the sustained oscillator.

We reasoned that encapsulation might also ‘induce’ oscillations in a system that is barely oscillating in bulk. To test this hypothesis, we tuned the oscillator out of the oscillating region by an appropriate change in enzyme concentrations, which resulted in strongly damped behaviour in the bulk. Indeed, in this case sustained oscillations were observed in some of the smaller droplets (Fig. 4).

For traces identified as oscillating, in all three tunings we determined the oscillation periods as a function of droplet radius \( r \), as shown in Fig. 5a–c. The oscillations tended to slow down with smaller droplet size as the variance of the periods increased. In the strongly damped case, identifiably oscillating droplets were observed only for \( r \leq 10 \mu\text{m} \); our filtering criteria and measurement noise precluded identification of oscillations with periods faster than \(~80\text{ minutes} \) or of those with low amplitudes. An analysis of the corresponding amplitudes showed very similar tendencies, with typically increasing amplitudes and amplitude variability for smaller droplets (Fig. 5d–f). As potential origins of these behaviours, we considered the effect of stochastic reaction dynamics, the influence of partitioning on the molecule numbers within the droplets and a potential loss of enzyme activity during compartmentalization.

**Stochastic reaction dynamics.** Stochastic reaction dynamics is expected to play a role for very small reaction volumes and molecule numbers. For instance, the oscillator species with the lowest concentration, RNase H, would be present at a copy number of only \(~5\) for \( V = 1 \mu\text{l} \). Indeed, stochastic simulations of the dynamics of the transcriptional oscillator using Gillespie’s algorithm\(^8\) showed strong fluctuations for \( V = 1 \mu\text{l} \) (Supplementary Fig. 29). However, by \( r = 2 \mu\text{m} \) (\( V = 33 \mu\text{l} \)) these fluctuations had already reduced strongly (Fig. 6a). For larger droplets the fluctuations were negligible, which indicates that stochastic reaction dynamics is not sufficient to explain the diversity observed in our droplet populations (Fig. 6b).
Partitioning effects. A major source of variability in our droplet populations may be partitioning effects. Small droplets of size $V$ contain molecule numbers $N$ that are expected to be Poisson distributed according to $p(N) = (\lambda^N/N!)e^{-\lambda}$ where the parameter $\lambda = cVN_A$ is the expected number of molecules for a bulk molar concentration $c$ and $N_A$ is Avogadro’s constant. Poisson partitioning also predicts that the standard deviation of $N$ will be $\sqrt{N}$. Although the number fluctuations and corresponding concentration fluctuations are presumably small for most of the oscillator species (for example, <10% for $\lambda > 100$), the combination of the variations of all 24 species concentrations, amplified by the oscillator circuit, may well lead to considerable variability in the dynamics of the system.

To assess the influence of such partitioning effects, we performed simulations for varying droplet sizes using deterministic mass-action differential equations, for which the initial molecule numbers of all oscillator species were drawn from a Poisson distribution. The results of such simulations for a droplet radius of $r = 2 \mu m$ are shown in Fig. 6a. The simulations indicate that partitioning can, indeed, result in a qualitatively similar variability in dynamical behaviour to that observed experimentally. As shown in Fig. 6c and Supplementary Section Modelling, the mean period of the oscillations, as well as the variance, increases with decreasing droplet radius. Quantitatively, however, the effect of Poisson partitioning is weaker than that in the experiment, in which strong variability is observed even for relatively large droplets.

Broader-than-Poisson variability. This strong variability could be explained by several factors, such as a small fraction of enzymes being active, incomplete mixing of the solution, protein multimerization or aggregation that results in copy-number variance greater than the mean\(^9\) or stochastic protein inactivation because of adsorption on the droplet interface and denaturation during generation of the microdroplets\(^9\). In principle, determination of concentrations within individual droplets could assess directly whether partitioning was Poisson or broader, but our fluorescence measurements did not provide concentration values with sufficient accuracy (Supplementary Section Compartmentalization and...
Supplementary Figs 13 and 14). Instead, we examined the variability in two ‘single-enzyme subsystems’ (Supplementary Fig. 15): one consisted of just RNase H acting on an RNA/DNA substrate, in which an enzyme turnover of ~100 effectively amplified the signal that resulted from partitioning; the other contained only RNAP, a transcription template, and a sensor for the fluorescent readout. In both cases, the apparent variability in enzyme activity was an order of magnitude larger than would be expected from Poisson partitioning combined with measurement noise (Supplementary Section Compartmentalization). Furthermore, independent of the droplet radius, the median of RNase H activity seemed to be only slightly reduced compared to that of the bulk case (Supplementary Fig. 17). By contrast, RNAP activity was considerably lower for droplets with smaller radii (Supplementary Fig. 16), which can be explained qualitatively by a loss of enzyme function during the droplet-generation process (see Supplementary Sections Compartmentalization and Modelling). This may be caused by enzyme aggregation, which we also observed for fluorescently labelled T7 RNAP encapsulated into the emulsion droplets (Supplementary Fig. 12)40.

We modelled empirically the larger variability with a gamma distribution, whose probability density function for \( x \geq 0 \) is given by

\[
p(x; \alpha, \beta) = (\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)}) x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}
\]

where \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) is the gamma function, \( \alpha \) is the ‘shape factor’ and \( \beta \) is the ‘scale factor’. This distribution allowed us to choose independently the mean \( \langle x \rangle = \alpha \beta \) and variance \( \text{var}(x) = \langle x \rangle \beta \) of the distribution. Previously, the gamma distribution was used in the context of stochastic gene expression, when gene expression ‘bursts’ were considered together with the influence of cell division and degradation processes41. However, a variety of different scenarios for
In our study of a compartmentalized in vitro transcriptional oscillator we found significant deviations from the bulk system and a broad diversity in dynamical behaviour. The dynamics of the encapsulated system can be understood as the result of a broad distribution in initial concentrations amplified by the underlying dynamical system, and can be reproduced adequately in deterministic simulations with statistically varying initial conditions. According to our model, we found that for the relatively large molecule numbers studied here, the inherent stochasticity of chemical reactions cannot account for the variability. Adopting the language of stochastic gene expression, our system appears to be dominated by 'extrinsic' sources of noise, such as enzyme-number variability and partitioning effects, rather than 'intrinsic' noise caused by stochastic reaction dynamics. Our experiments and numerical analyses suggest that the physical sources of variability include stochastic partitioning of molecules and loss of enzyme activity during the droplet-production process. This phenomena yield broader distributions of molecule numbers, and thus dynamic variability among droplet oscillations, which is captured qualitatively by our gamma partitioning model. How the variability in initial conditions is propagated through the dynamics is affected profoundly by the operating point of the oscillator.

For biological cells, it has been argued previously that the influence of partitioning noise may be underappreciated compared to that of stochastic reaction dynamics. Our study suggests that similar partitioning effects play a major role in determining the dynamic behaviour of compartmentalized artificial biochemical circuits: these effects pose a major challenge for cell-scale molecular engineering and the realization of protocols. Therefore, it is important to investigate which synthetic circuits provide better or worse performance in the presence of such noise, and to study and potentially adopt strategies of biological cells for either active noise reduction or increased resilience.

Methods

DNA oligonucleotides and enzymes. Oligonucleotides were purchased from IDT DNA or biomers.net. RNase H was purchased from Applied Biosystems. T7 RNAP and reagents from Epicentre and New England Biolabs were used for the preparation of the transcription mix. DNA sequences, modifications and details on sample preparation and experimental conditions are given in the Supplementary Methods.

Fluorescence measurements. Bulk fluorescence experiments were performed on a Horiba/Jobin Yvon Fluorolog 3 system in 45 μl cuvettes. Fluorescence emission from labelled DNA strands was recorded every minute. A sample temperature of 37 °C was maintained using a water-circulation thermostat.

Generation of microemulsion droplets. Two alternative methods for the generation of the microdroplets were employed. The first method, which we termed ‘shaken-not-stirred’, consisted of simply vortexing a mixture of oil, surfactant and aqueous buffer solution that contained the reagents (Supplementary Methods). By adjusting mixing time and vibration speed appropriately, we could generate populations of droplets with a broad size distribution, with radii that ranged from ~1 μm to >20 μm (see Supplementary Section Oscillator Data). Droplets were generated by mixing 10 μl oscillator reaction mix with 45 μl FC-40 Fluorinert oil (P9755, Sigma-Aldrich) that contained 1.8% (w/w) E2K0660 non-ionic, biocompatible surfactant (RainDance Technologies) in Protein LoBind tubes (Eppendorf) using a vortex mixer for 60 seconds. A volume of 45 μl emulsion was transferred with a pipette from the reaction tube into ibidi μ-slides V1** for microscope measurements. The flow chambers were sealed with PCR tape to protect the sample against evaporation. We also employed microfluidics that generate emulsions: this allowed us to produce large numbers of droplets with a much narrower size distribution (see Supplementary Methods), but it was technically challenging to produce very small droplets with diameters as small as 2 μm. The shaken-not-stirred method, similar to common emulsion PCR techniques, allowed us to obtain, in a single experiment, populations of droplets with broad size variability, and a large number of droplets with radii in the range 2–3 μm. For this reason, we adopted the shaken-not-stirred method for all experiments reported in this paper, except for those reported in the Supplementary Methods (Supplementary Fig. 1).

© 2014 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved.
Time-lapse microscopy. This was conducted on an Olympus IX81 epifluorescence microscope equipped with an automated x–y–z stage (Prior Scientific) and an incubator box (T = 37 °C), and controlled with MicroManager 1.4. Every five minutes, a bright field and a fluorescence image were recorded for at least 1,000 minutes.

Image analysis and data processing. Droplets were tracked automatically and analysed from each microscope movie (see Supplementary Section Data Analysis and Supplementary Videos V1–V3). Fluorescence values were normalized with respect to a reference dye present at a constant concentration in the droplets, and smoothed to reduce noise. To filter out artefacts, stringent selection criteria were used to remove anomalous traces deemed likely to result from, for example, failures in droplet tracking or the superposition of droplets. These criteria also remove some genuine droplet traces that exhibit low-amplitude oscillations or non-oscillatory behaviour; consequently, we do not report the fraction of droplets that oscillate, as this measurement is unreliable. Additional details are given in Supplementary Section Data Analysis.
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